

AUTOMORPHISMS OF A CLASS OF METABELIAN GROUPS

BY
S. BACHMUTH

1. Introduction. The purpose of this paper is to extend the results of [2] and [3] to the groups $U = F/F''(F')^m$, where m is a positive integer greater than one. Here $(F')^m$ is the group generated by all m th powers of the commutator subgroup of the finitely generated free group F . The notation we use throughout is consistent with that of [2] and [3], but for the convenience of the reader we first recall some definitions and notation which are not standard.

Let s_1, \dots, s_q and a_1, \dots, a_q denote the generators of $F/F' \cong U/U'$ and U , respectively, which correspond to the free generators $\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_q$ of the free group F of rank q . U' becomes a (multiplicative) module over $Z_m(F/F') = \mathcal{R}$, the group ring of F/F' over the integers modulo m , under the definition

$$[a_i, a_j]^{s_k} = [a_i, a_j]^{a_k} = a_k[a_i, a_j]a_k^{-1}$$

and

$$[a_i, a_j]^{P+Q} = [a_i, a_j]^P [a_i, a_j]^Q$$

for elements P, Q in \mathcal{R} . Here we put $[a_i, a_j] = a_i a_j a_i^{-1} a_j^{-1}$ and when we write $[a_i, a_j]^P$ for P in \mathcal{R} , P will be considered as a "polynomial" in the $s_i^{\pm 1}$ or the $a_i^{\pm 1}$, whichever is most convenient at the time.

In this paper we are concerned with the group of IA-automorphisms of U , i.e., those automorphisms which induce the identity automorphism on the abelianized group U/U' . In Proposition 1, we modify the Magnus representation of F/F'' (see §3 of [2]) to get a faithful representation of U . Using this proposition, it follows that the representation of the IA-automorphism group of F/F'' due to W. Magnus (see §3 of [2]) becomes a representation of the IA-automorphism group of $F/F''(F')^m$ if one restricts the entries to be elements in the ring \mathcal{R} .

One can view this as beginning with the Magnus representation of the IA-automorphism group of F/F'' and factoring out the ideal generated by m from the group ring of the free abelian group over the integers. One may factor out more complicated ideals, and hence, in this paper, we may consider ourselves as working in the simplest possible situation. The results are essentially different depending upon whether or not m is a squarefree integer. In the case where m has a square factor, many of the results of [2] and [3] are no longer valid. We will for the most part restrict ourselves to the case where m is a prime (and give counterexamples for the case of arbitrary m). This in effect will mean that when m is an integer such

that Z_m is semisimple, the corresponding problems are also solved, for as we shall see, all problems are reducible to the prime spots. For example, although in the semisimple case, Theorem 1 below is no longer valid, it is nevertheless true that all IA-automorphisms are "products" of inner automorphisms corresponding to the primes contained in m . These matters will be made precise in a sequel (jointly with H. Mochizuki) where the semisimple as well as the case where Z_m contains nilpotent elements will be handled. One of the exceptions in this paper to the restriction that m be a prime is in the determination of the $Z_m(U/U')$ module structure of U' . We now formulate the main results.

In the case where m is a prime p , the analogue of Theorem 1 of [2] carries over without any changes in the proof.

PROPOSITION 2. *A necessary and sufficient condition for an $n \times n$ matrix $M(\alpha) = (a_{ij})$ over \mathcal{R} to represent an IA-automorphism α of $F/F''(F')^p$ is that*

- (1) $\det M(\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^q s_i^{j_i}$, where j_1, \dots, j_q are integers, and
- (2) $\sum_{j=1}^q a_{ij}(1-s_j) = 1-s_i$, for $i=1, 2, \dots, q$.

This result is false in the case where m is no longer a prime since \mathcal{R} now has nontrivial units which the $\det M(\alpha)$ may assume.

THEOREM 1. *Let U be the group corresponding to the free group of rank 2. If m is a prime, then any IA-automorphism of U is an inner automorphism.*

We will give an example later which shows that Theorem 1 is false for general m . The proof of Theorem 1 is the same as the corresponding proof for the group F/F'' given in [2] and hence need not be repeated here.

We emphasize that m is arbitrary in the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. *$U' \cong F'/F''(F')^m$ as a natural $Z_m(U/U') \cong Z_m(F/F')$ module (written in multiplicative notation) is defined by generators $[a_i, a_j]$ for all $i < j$ and relations,*

$$(1.1) \quad [a_i, a_j]^{(1-a_k)} [a_i, a_k]^{-(1-a_j)} [a_j, a_k]^{(1-a_i)} = 1$$

for all $i < j < k$.

In §3, we will give a proof of Theorem 2 which does not depend upon the proof of Proposition 2 (or of Lemma 1 of [2] upon which the proof of Proposition 2 depends).

We next take up the question of induced automorphisms. The main result here is analogous to the main result for the case of F/F'' (Lemma 7 or Theorem 2 of [3]) which we formulate as follows: We use the same notation as introduced above and also use a_i to mean the cosets $a_i U_n$, where U_n is the n th term of the lower central series of U . Assume that $\bar{\alpha}$ is an automorphism of U/U_n and is described by the mapping

$$a_i \bar{\alpha} = a_i \prod_{j < k} [a_j, a_k]^{P_{ijk}},$$

where $P_{ijk} = \sum a_{ijk}(t)t$, the summation being over distinct monomials t in the $\sigma_i = (1-a_i)$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, q$) and the $a_{ijk}(t)$ are integers.

THEOREM 3. (1) Assume m is a prime, and that the above automorphism $\bar{\alpha}$ induces the identity on U/U_{n-1} . Then for $n \geq 4$, $\bar{\alpha}$ is induced by an automorphism of U if and only if we have

$$(*) \quad \sum_{i < k} a_{ik}(t/\sigma_k) - \sum_{i > j} a_{ij}(t/\sigma_j) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

for each monomial t of degree $n-2$. (The summations in $(*)$ are over those pairs i, k (resp. i, j) such that σ_k (resp. σ_j) divides t .)

(2) If m is arbitrary, then for $n \geq 4$, $\bar{\alpha}$ is induced by an automorphism of U whenever $(*)$ is satisfied.

We will give an example later to show that the converse of (2) is false if m is not squarefree.

REMARK. The question of induced automorphisms in the case of general m has in effect been completely solved. The results appear in a joint paper with H. Mochizuki which is a sequel to this paper. In particular, note Corollaries 3.2, 3.3 and Theorem 4.2 of the sequel.

Theorem 3 gives no information in the case of induced automorphisms of $U/U_3 \cong F/F_3(F')^p$. But here, a direct calculation as done in [1] for the case F/F_3 will show that all automorphisms of U/U_3 are induced by automorphisms of U (in fact by automorphisms of F). Finally, we have as before, the interesting

THEOREM 4. Suppose m is a prime. If an automorphism of U/U_4 can be induced by an automorphism of U , it can be induced by an automorphism of F .

I would like to thank the referee for his helpful comments and suggestions, and in particular, for suggesting the very simple proof of Proposition 1.

2. The representation of the IA-automorphism group of U . We denote the group ring of a group G over a ring R by $R(G)$. The following is a special case of a much more general theorem due to Magnus [5].

Suppose $\Phi = F/F''$ is freely generated by b_1, \dots, b_q corresponding to the free generators $\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_q$ of F . Then the mapping of Φ into the group of matrices

$$b_i \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} s_i & t_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (i = 1, \dots, q)$$

is an isomorphism, where s_i is a generator of F/F' corresponding to the generator \bar{a}_i under the natural map and t_1, \dots, t_q are a basis for a free $Z(F/F')$ -module. We state the following lemma from an earlier paper (Lemma 1 of [2]).

LEMMA 1. Let $S = s_1^{j_1} s_2^{j_2} \dots s_q^{j_q}$ where the j_i are arbitrary integers. Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ be elements of $Z(F/F')$. Then the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} S & \sum \gamma_i t_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

represents an element of Φ if and only if the γ_i satisfy the identity

$$\sum \gamma_i(1-s_i) = 1-S.$$

The following proposition is a special case of a more general result (see [7]), but because of its central importance to this paper we include a proof.

PROPOSITION 1. *If we set $mt_i=0$ for each i in the above matrix group, the resulting matrix group is isomorphic to $U=F/F''(F')^m$.*

Proof. It is clear that we get at least a homomorphic image of U . We show that it is in fact an isomorphism. Suppose x in the Magnus representation of Φ is in the kernel of our homomorphism. Then obviously x is in Φ' and hence x is given by

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sum m\gamma_i t_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some γ_i in $Z(F/F')$. Now by the above lemma, we have $m \sum \gamma_i(1-s_i)=0$, which implies $\sum \gamma_i(1-s_i)=0$. Hence

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sum \gamma_i t_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is in the Magnus representation of Φ and $y^m=x$. Thus x is an m th power of an element of Φ' , and this completes the proof of Proposition 1.

REMARK. In proving Proposition 1, one may avoid the use of Lemma 1 of [2] by utilizing a free basis for Φ' (e.g., the basis given by Gruenberg [4], Theorem 5.1) and analyzing what the powers of these basis elements look like in the Magnus representation.

We now proceed exactly as in [2] and [3], to define the Magnus representation of the IA-automorphism group of U . For convenience we repeat the definition.

If α is an IA-automorphism of U and

$$a_i\alpha = a_i \prod_{j < k} [a_j, a_k]^{A_{ijk}}$$

where the A_{ijk} are elements of \mathcal{R} , then

$$(2.1) \quad M(\alpha) = I + N(\alpha)$$

where I is the identity matrix and $N(\alpha)=(d_{uv})$ is given by

$$(2.2) \quad d_{uv} = s_u \left[\sum_{h > v} (1-s_h) A_{uvh} - \sum_{h < v} (1-s_h) A_{uhv} \right].$$

It is no longer true that $\mathcal{R}=Z_m(F/F')$ has only trivial units. We have, however, trivially,

LEMMA 2. *If p is a prime, then $\mathcal{R}=Z_p(F/F')$ has only trivial units.*

COROLLARY. *If m is a prime and α is an IA-automorphism of U , then*

$$\det M(\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^q s_i^{f_i}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 1, $\det M(\alpha) = a \prod_{i=1}^q s_i^{f_i}$ for some a in Z_p . But setting $s_i = 1$ for each i (i.e., factoring by the augmentation ideal) turns $M(\alpha)$ into the identity matrix by (2.1) and (2.2) and hence it follows that $a = 1$.

REMARK. If m is not a prime, then Lemma 2 is of course no longer true. But more important the corollary is also false, and it is this fact which invalidates most of the results for the case where m is no longer squarefree. (In the case where Z_m contains no nilpotent elements, the corollary becomes $\det M(\alpha) = \sum_k \prod_i u_k s_i^{f_i}$, where $1 = \sum u_k$ is the unique decomposition of 1 in Z_m into orthogonal idempotents. However, for arbitrary m , the situation is more serious.) Translated in practical language, this means there exist "more" automorphisms of $F/F''(F')^m$ than in the case where m is squarefree or m is zero. We give an illustration before proceeding.

EXAMPLE. Let $m=4$ and let α be the endomorphism of U for which $a_1\alpha = a_1[a_1, a_2]^{2a_1^{-1}}$, $a_2\alpha = a_2$. Then $\alpha^2 = 1$ and hence α is an automorphism. This is most easily seen by going to the Magnus representation. We have

$$M(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} 3-2s_2 & 0 \\ -2(1-s_2) & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and a direct calculation shows that $M^2(\alpha) = I$. Notice that $\det M(\alpha) = 3-2s_2$ which is a nontrivial unit of \mathcal{R} ; i.e., $(3-2s_2)^2 = 1$. This example also shows that Theorem 1 is false for $m=4$. In fact the above automorphism is in no way decomposable as a product of inner automorphisms of appropriate quotient groups (as will be seen to be the case for m squarefree).

3. Proof of Theorem 2. We begin by giving some remarks necessary for the proof. Proposition 2 of §1 enables us to construct IA-automorphisms of U . In particular, any mapping α of U of the form

$$a_i \rightarrow a_i \prod_{j < k} [a_j, a_k]^{P_{ij}}, \quad j \neq i \neq k; \quad a_l \rightarrow a_l, \quad l \neq i,$$

where the P_{ij} are any elements of \mathcal{R} , is always an IA-automorphism of U which has determinant equal to one. We will call these automorphisms "exceptional automorphisms."

In the case where $U = F/F''(F)^m$ has rank 2, the Jacobi product (1.1) is vacuous, and hence Theorem 2 below says that $F'/F''(F')^m$ is a free \mathcal{R} -module. We remind the reader that m is now assumed arbitrary.

THEOREM 2. *Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_q be free generators of U , and P_{ij} elements of \mathcal{R} . Then the only nontrivial relations involving commutators of the form $[a_i, a_j]^{P_{ij}}$ are products of transforms of the Jacobi identity (1.1).*

Proof. Suppose we have a nontrivial relation

$$(3.1) \quad \prod_{i < j} [a_i, a_j]^{P_{ij}} = 1.$$

We wish to show that the left-hand side is a product of transforms of Jacobi products. The idea involved is to use the existence of the automorphisms termed exceptional above to derive relations on the P_{ij} and then to deduce that these relations are just the Jacobi relations. We rewrite (3.1) as

$$(3.2) \quad \prod_{1 < k} [a_1, a_k]^{P_{1k}} = \prod_{i < j; i \neq 1} [a_i, a_j]^{-P_{ij}}$$

and consider the exceptional automorphism α defined by

$$a_1\alpha = a_1 \prod_{i < j; i \neq 1} [a_i, a_j]^{-P_{ij}}, \quad a_i\alpha = a_i, \quad i \neq 1.$$

As noted above, α has determinant one. But because of (3.2), this automorphism is the same as

$$a_1\alpha = a_1 \prod_{k=2}^q [a_1, a_k]^{P_{1k}}, \quad a_i\alpha = a_i, \quad i \neq 1$$

which has determinant equal to

$$1 + \sum_{j=2}^q s_1(1-s_j)P_{1j}.$$

We therefore have

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{j=2}^q (1-s_j)P_{1j} = 0.$$

It is easy to verify that all solutions of (3.3) are sums of solutions of the form

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{1u} &= (1-s_v)X, & u \neq v, u \neq 1, v \neq 1 \\ P_{1v} &= -(1-s_u)X, \\ P_{1l} &= 0, & l \neq u, l \neq v, l \neq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where X is an arbitrary element of \mathcal{R} .

Let us consider one of the cases of (3.4), i.e., fix u and v and let X be any element of \mathcal{R} . Without loss of generality we may take $u=2, v=3$. With this as the solution of (3.3), we may use the Jacobi identity to replace $[a_1, a_2]^{P_{12}}[a_1, a_3]^{P_{13}}$ by $[a_2, a_3]^{-(1-a_1)X}$ in equation (3.2). Equation (3.2) now becomes

$$(3.5) \quad [a_2, a_3]^{P_{23} - (1-a_1)X} = \prod_{i < j; i \neq 1; (i,j) \neq (2,3)} [a_i, a_j]^{-P_{ij}}.$$

Notice that if $q=3$, we would be finished since the solution of (3.3) chosen is the general solution and the right-hand side of (3.5) is vacuously the identity element of U and we would therefore have $P_{23}=(1-a_1)X$. Suppose therefore $q>3$ and we assume the theorem is true for the case $q-1$. We consider the mapping β defined by

$$a_q\beta = a_q[a_2, a_3]^{P_{23} - (1-a_1)X}, \quad a_i\beta = a_i, \quad i \neq q.$$

Since $q > 3$, β is an automorphism of U and β has determinant one. But, by (3.5) β is the automorphism

$$a_q \beta = a_q \prod_{i < j; i \neq 1; (i, j) \neq (2, 3)} [a_i, a_j]^{-P_{ij}}$$

$$a_i \beta = a_i, \quad i \neq q$$

which has determinant equal to $1 + \sum_{j=2}^{q-1} s_q(1-s_j)P_{jq}$. Hence we have

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{j=2}^{q-1} (1-s_j)P_{jq} = 0.$$

The solutions of (3.6) are sums of solutions of the form

$$P_{uq} = (1-s_v)Y_{uv}, \quad u \neq v,$$

$$P_{vq} = -(1-s_u)Y_{uv},$$

$$P_{kq} = 0, \quad k \neq u, k \neq v,$$

where u, v may take on values from among $2, 3, \dots, q-1$ and Y_{uv} is any element of $Z_m(F/F')$. Now suppose for a particular u, v , Y_{uv} is nonzero. Then we may use the Jacobi identity to replace $[a_u, a_q]^{P_{uq}}[a_v, a_q]^{P_{vq}}$ by $[a_u, a_v]^{Q_{uv}}$, where $Q_{uv} = (1-s_q)Y_{uv}$. In this manner we remove all the elements on the left-hand side of (3.1) of the form $[a_i, a_q]^{P_{iq}}$. There remain only commutators of the form $[a_j, a_k]^{P_{jk}}$ where $k < q$, and we may now apply our induction hypothesis. We deal with other particular solutions of (3.3) in a similar manner. The general solution of (3.3) is a sum of the particular solutions. Each such solution leads to Jacobi products and the sum of these solutions leads to the product of these various Jacobi products. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

4. Proof of Theorems 3 and 4. Our presentation depends upon the following fundamental result due to W. Magnus. A proof may be found in [6, Chapter IV].

PROPOSITION 3. *Let \mathcal{M} be the variety of all metabelian nilpotent groups of class c . Then any element of any group G in \mathcal{M} can be represented as a product of (left normed) basic commutators in G .*

In particular, this result applies to the groups U/U_n . Thus, we can state the following fundamental lemma, whose proof now goes over word for word the same as the proof of Lemma 4 in [3]. We use the same notation as in [3] and perhaps for convenience, we list the definitions here.

Σ is the augmentation ideal of \mathcal{R} generated by all elements $\sigma_i = (1-s_i)$, for $i = 1, \dots, q$. Σ^k is the k th power of Σ . $A(U; U/U_n)$ is the group of automorphisms of U which induces the identity automorphism on U/U_n . $A^*(U/U_n; U/U_{n-1})$ is the subgroup of $A(U/U_n; U/U_{n-1})$ consisting of those automorphisms which are induced by automorphisms of U . The elements a_1, \dots, a_q will be used to denote free generators of U and also the corresponding free generators of U/U_n .

LEMMA 3. *If α is in $A(U; U/U_n)$, then $M(\alpha) \equiv I$ (modulo Σ^{n-1}).*

Now the question of which automorphisms of U/U_n are induced by automorphisms of U , reduces to the same question for $A = A(U/U_n; U/U_{n-1})$. We therefore wish to determine the subgroup $A^* = A^*(U/U_n; U/U_{n-1})$ of A . We proceed exactly as in §5 of [3]. For β in A we write

$$(4.1) \quad a_i \beta = a_i \prod_{j < k} [a_j, a_k]^{A_{ijk}}$$

where A_{ijk} are polynomials all of whose terms are of degree $n-3$ in the $\sigma_i = 1 - a_i$ over Z_m . We define $N_1(\beta)$ exactly as in [3]; i.e., we formally write $M(\beta) = I + N(\beta)$ using (2.1) and (2.2) and then $N_1(\beta)$ is the image of $N(\beta)$ in $\Sigma^{n-2}/\Sigma^{n-1}$. We now restrict ourselves to the case where m is a prime. Our aim is to show that β is in A^* if and only if $\text{trace } N_1(\beta) = 0$. The following is the necessary modification of Lemma 6 of [3].

LEMMA 4. *If α is an automorphism of U and $n \geq 4$, then, α induces β in $A^*(U/U_n; U/U_{n-1})$ only if $\det M(\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^q s_i^{j_i}$, where the j_i are multiples of p . (The converse is obvious, i.e., if $\det M(\beta) = \prod s_i^{j_i}$, then by Proposition 2, β as given by 4.1 may be thought of as an automorphism of U rather than U/U_n .)*

Proof. By Lemma 2, $\det M(\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^q s_i^{j_i}$ for integers j_1, \dots, j_q . We have, by Lemma 3, that $M(\alpha) \equiv I \pmod{\Sigma^{n-2}}$ and hence $\det M(\alpha) \equiv 1 \pmod{\Sigma^{n-2}}$. But $\det M(\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^q (1 - \sigma_i)^{j_i}$ and we must therefore have

$$\binom{j_i}{1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{for } (i = 1, 2, \dots, q)$$

or else $\det M(\alpha)$ contains terms of degree smaller than $n-2$ in the σ_i .

REMARK. If m is arbitrary, then Lemma 4 is not true because we cannot assert that $\det M(\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^q s_i^{j_i}$. However, if m is squarefree, then $\det M(\alpha)$ is indeed of the form $\prod_{i=1}^q s_i^{j_i}$ at each prime spot. This will enable us to assert in the case of squarefree m , that if $\text{trace } N_1(\beta) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, then β is induced by an automorphism of U , and conversely. For arbitrary m , the converse is no longer valid because U now has too many automorphisms. We will give an example later of an element β in $A(U/U_4; U/U_3)$ for which $\text{trace } N_1(\beta) \not\equiv 0$, but nonetheless β is in $A^*(U/U_4; U/U_3)$.

For the case where m is a prime, the procedure for showing that β as given by (4.1) is in A^* if and only if $\text{trace } N_1(\beta) = 0$ proceeds now as in the case $m=0$ developed in §5 of [3]. We sketch the procedure.

If α is an automorphism of U and induces β in $A^*(U/U_n; U/U_{n-1})$ (where $n \geq 4$), then by Lemma 4, we have

$$\det M(\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^q s_i^{j_i} = \prod_{i=1}^q (1 - \sigma_i)^{j_i},$$

where

$$\binom{j_i}{k} \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, n-3.$$

But $\det M(\alpha) = 1 + \text{trace } N(\alpha) + \text{terms quadratic or higher in the } A_{ijk}$. Hence modulo Σ^{n-1} , this reduces to $\text{trace } N(\alpha) \equiv 0$ and hence $\text{trace } N_1(\alpha) = 0$. This gives our result in one direction.

For the converse, we are given that $\text{trace } N_1(\beta) = 0$. We first interpret this condition in an explicit manner as follows. $\text{Trace } N_1(\beta) = 0$ is a linear condition on the coefficients of the polynomials A_{ijk} regarded as polynomials in σ_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, q$). Thus write $A_{ijk} = \sum a_{ijk}(t)t$ where the summation is over distinct monomials t in the σ_i ($i = 1, \dots, q$), and the $a_{ijk}(t)$ are elements of Z_p . Then $\text{trace } N_1(\beta) = 0$ is equivalent to the following system of linear conditions on the coefficients $a_{ijk}(t)$.

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{i < k} a_{iik}(t/\sigma_k) - \sum_{i > j} a_{ijj}(t/\sigma_j) = 0.$$

There is one equation for each t of degree $n-2$ and all these equations are independent. (The summations in (4.2) are over those pairs i, k (or i, j) such that σ_k (resp. σ_j) divides t . The proof of the converse now proceeds exactly as in [3]. That is, for any solution of (4.2) one constructs an automorphism of U having polynomials A_{ijk} for which the terms of degree less than $n-3$ are zero and those of degree $n-3$ the given solution, exactly as in Lemma 7 of [3]. These constructions do not depend upon the fact that m is a prime since m does not enter into these constructions. This remark accounts for part (2) of the following, which is a formal statement of the preceding discussion.

THEOREM 3. (1) *Let m be a prime. Then for $n \geq 4$ and β in $A(U/U_n; U/U_{n-1})$, β is in $A^*(U/U_n; U/U_{n-1})$ if and only if $\text{trace } N_1(\beta) = 0$.*

(2) *Suppose m is arbitrary. Then for $n \geq 4$ and β in $A(U/U_n; U/U_{n-1})$, β is in $A^*(U/U_n; U/U_{n-1})$ if $\text{trace } N_1(\beta) = 0$.*

It is not true that β in $A^*(U/U_n; U/U_{n-1})$ implies $\text{trace } N_1(\beta) = 0$, as the following example shows.

EXAMPLE. Take $m=4$ and let $a_1\alpha = a_1[a_1, a_2]^A$, where $A = 2a_1^{-1}(1+a_2)$ and $a_2\alpha = a_2$. One easily checks that α is an automorphism of U with $\det M(\alpha) = 3 - 2s_2^2$. What automorphism β of U/U_4 does α induce? We have, working in \mathcal{R} and also working modulo Σ^2 , that $a_1^{-1} \equiv 1 + \sigma_1 \pmod{\Sigma^2}$ and $1 + a_2 = 2 - \sigma_2$ and therefore

$$2a_1^{-1}(1+a_2) \equiv 2(1+\sigma_1)(2-\sigma_2) \equiv 2\sigma_2 \pmod{\Sigma^2}.$$

Thus $a_1\beta = a_1[a_1, a_2]^{2(1-a_2)}$, $a_2\beta = a_2$. Hence we have $a_{112}(\sigma_2) = 2$, $a_{112}(\sigma_1) = a_{212}(\sigma_1) = a_{212}(\sigma_2) = 0$. But the condition $\text{trace } N_1(\beta) = 0$ (i.e., (4.2)) for the case $q=2$ and $n=4$ is $a_{212}(\sigma_1) = a_{112}(\sigma_2) = 0$, $a_{112}(\sigma_1) - a_{212}(\sigma_2) = 0$, which is not satisfied for β .

Our last result now follows exactly as Theorem 4 of [3]. As is clear, the same automorphisms of the free group F used in the proof of Theorem 4 of [3] may be used in Theorem 4 below.

THEOREM 4. (1) *Let m be a prime. Then any automorphism of U/U_4 which is induced by an automorphism of U , can be induced by an automorphism of F .*

(2) *Let m be arbitrary. Then any automorphism β of U/U_4 which has the property $N_1(\beta)=0$ can be induced by an automorphism of F .*

REFERENCES

1. S. Andreadakis, *Automorphisms of free groups and free nilpotent groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **15** (1965), 239–268.
2. S. Bachmuth, *Automorphisms of free metabelian groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **118** (1965), 93–104.
3. ———, *Induced automorphisms of free groups and free metabelian groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **122** (1966), 1–17.
4. K. W. Gruenberg, *Residual properties of infinite soluble groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **12** (1957), 29–61.
5. W. Magnus, *On a theorem of Marshall Hall*, Ann. of Math. **40** (1939), 764–768.
6. H. Neumann, *Varieties of groups*, Lectures delivered at the Manchester College of Science and Technology 1962–1963. Lecture notes by I. M. S. Dey and C. H. Houghton.
7. S. Bachmuth and I. Hughes, *Centers of certain presentations of finite groups*, Abstract 636–4, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1966), 576.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,
SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA